IN THE CLAIMS:

1. (Currently amended) A tool with a tool body and a wear resistant layer system, said layer system comprising at least one layer of MeX, wherein

Me comprises titanium and aluminum;

X is at least one of nitrogen and of carbon

and wherein said layer has a QI value

$$Q_I \ge [1] \ \underline{5}$$

and said tool body is of one of the materials

high speed steel (HSS);

cemented carbide,

and wherein said tool is not a solid carbide end mill and not a solid carbide ball nose mill

whereby the value of I (200) is at least 20 times the intensity average noise value, both measured according to MS.

- 2. (Previously amended) The tool of claim 1, wherein the tool is selected from a group consisting of a cemented carbide insert, a cemented carbide drill and a cemented carbide gear cutting tool.
- 3. (Previously amended) The tool of claim 1 wherein there is valid for said $Q_{\rm I}$:

 $Q_I \ge 2$.

- 4. (Previously amended) The tool of claim 1, wherein said MeX material is selected from the group consisting of titanium aluminum nitride, titanium aluminum carbonitride, and titanium aluminum boron nitride.
- 5. (Previously amended) The tool of claim 1, wherein Me comprises at least one further element selected from the group consisting of born, zirconium, hafnium, yttrium, silicon, tungsten, and chromium.
- 6. (Original) The tool of claim 5, wherein said further element is contained in Me with a content i

 $0.05 \text{ at.}\% \le i \le 60 \text{ at.}\%,$

taken Me as 100 at.%.

7. (Original) The tool of claim 1, further comprising a further layer of titanium nitride between said at least one layer and said tool body and wherein said further layer has a thickness d, for which there is valid

 $0.05~\mu m \le d \le 5.0~\mu m$.

- 8. (Original) The tool of claim 7, wherein said layer system is formed by said at least one layer and said further layer.
- 9. (Previously amended) The tool of claim 1, wherein the stress within said at least one layer, σ , is

 $1 \text{ GPa} \le \sigma \le 6 \text{ GPa}.$

10. (Previously amended) The tool of claim 1, wherein the content x of titanium in said Me is:

70 at.% $\ge x \ge 40$ at.%.

11. (Previously amended) the tool of claim 1, wherein the content y of aluminum in said Me is:

 $30 \text{ at.}\% \le y \le 60 \text{ at.}\%.$

12. (Previously amended) The tool of claim 10, wherein the content y of aluminum in said Me is:

$$30 \text{ at.}\% \le y \le 60 \text{ at.}\%.$$

13.-22. (Cancelled)

- 23. (Previously added as Claim 24) [Renumbered] The tool of claim 1, wherein $Q_I \geq 5$.
- 24. (Previously added as Claim 25) [Renumbered] The tool of claim 1, wherein $Q_I \ge 10$.
- 25. (Previously added as Claim 26) [Renumbered] The tool of claim 1, wherein 1 GPa $\leq \sigma \leq 4$ GPa.

- 26. (Previously added as Claim 27) [Renumbered] The tool of claim 1, wherein 1.5 GPa $\leq \sigma \leq$ 2.5 GPa.
- 27. (Previously added as Claim 28) [Renumbered] The tool of claim 1, wherein the content of x of titanium in said Me is

 $65 \text{ at.}\% \ge x \ge 55 \text{ at.}\%.$

28. (Previously added as Claim 29) [Renumbered] The tool of claim 1, wherein the content of aluminum in said Me is

$$35 \text{ at.}\% \le y \le 45 \text{ at.}\%.$$

29. (Previously added as Claim 30) [Renumbered] The tool of claim 10, wherein the content y of aluminum in said Me is

$$35 \text{ at.}\% \le y \le 45 \text{ at.}\%.$$

30. (Previously added as Claim 31) [Renumbered] The tool of claim 30, wherein the content of x of titanium in said Me is

$$65 \text{ at.}\% \ge x \ge 55 \text{ at.}\%.$$

31. (Currently amended) A tool with a tool body and a wear-resistant layer system, said system comprising at least one MeX layer, wherein for said at least one MeX layer

Me comprises titanium and aluminum;

X is at least one of nitrogen and of carbon

and wherein said layer has a $Q_{\rm I}$ value

 $Q_I \geq [1] \ \underline{5}$

and said tool body is of one of the materials

high speed steel (HSS);

cemented carbide,

and wherein said tool is not a solid carbide end mill and not a solid carbide ball nose mill

whereby the value of I (200) is at least 20 times the intensity average noise value, both measured according to MS.